

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,481	02/18/2004	Kie Jin Lee	930077-2006	3113
20999	7590 06/07/2006		EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			KO, TONY	
NEW YORK, NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2878	

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1.			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>_</i>			
Office Action Summary		10/781,481	LEE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tony Ko	2878				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover she t with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exten after 5 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 siX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ve to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	<u>arch 2006</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	u alantinu un muinamant					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•				
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
445	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P 10-132.				
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea 						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	·	ed.				
		·					
Attachmen	t(s)	_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)					
3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2878

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/20/06 has been entered.

2. Currently claims 1-16 are still pending.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 1-16 recites "wherein an impedance, a resonance frequency mode and a structure of the probe can be controlled in the waveguide resonator", which appears to be new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2878

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-7, 9-12, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anlage (U.S. Patent 6,809,533).

Regarding claim 1, 3-7, 9-12, 14, and 16, Anlage discloses (Figs. 1 and 2) a nearfield microscope comprising: a wave source (165) with a variable frequency; a waveguide resonator (190) through which the wave emitted from the wave source propagates; a probe (130), which perforates an outer wall of the waveguide resonator and by which the wave that propagates through the waveguide resonator interacts with a sample (125); and a detector (155), which detects the wave that has interacted with the sample, wherein an impedance, a resonance frequency mode and a structure of the probe can be controlled in the waveguide resonator. Anlage also discloses a portion of the probe inside the waveguide resonator has a linear shape and a loop shape (a rectangle shape). Anlage also discloses the probe is formed of metal, a dielectric material, or a magnetic substance (coaxial). Anlage discloses the invention set forth above. Anlage's invention under normal operation would satisfy the equation in claim 7 hence claim 7 is rejected. Anlage also discloses a slit is formed in the waveguide resonator, and the probe is movable along the slit. Anlage discloses the invention set forth above. Anlage's invention under normal operation would satisfy equation in claim 10 and a wave with a frequency greater than the cut-off frequency is used. Anlage

Art Unit: 2878

also discloses the near field microscope when a resonance frequency and a volume before the probe is inserted into the waveguide resonator are f.sub.0 and v.sub.0, respectively, and a change in volume of the probe after the probe is inserted into the waveguide resonator is delta v, a change in resonance frequency f of the waveguide resonator is given by: (f-f0)/f0 = -2*delta v/v0. Anlage also discloses the probe is a hybrid probe manufactured using partial two-step etching. Anlage discloses the wave source emits microwaves or millimeter-waves. Anlage also discloses the probe portion having the loop shape is disposed parallel to an advancing direction of the wave.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anlage in view of Davidov (U.S. Patent 5,781,018).

4. Anlage also the invention set forth above, Anlage does not disclose a tuner which is movably connected to one end of the waveguide resonator and adjusts a length of the waveguide resonator. Davido discloses a tuner (64), which is movably connected to one end of the waveguide resonator and adjusts a length of the waveguide resonator. It

would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to attach a tuner on the resonator to accurately adjust for the signal frequency.

Page 5

- 5. Claims 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anlage.
- Regarding claim 8, Anlage discloses the invention set forth above, Anlage does not disclose the probe is disposed in a position that satisfies Zf = 3d/2p, Zi=d/(2*p). It is design choice to place the probe in a position that satisfies Zf = 3d/2p, Zi=d/(2*p). it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to place the probe in a position that satisfies Zf = 3d/2p, Zi=d/(2*p) to achieve desired electromotive force.
- Regarding claim 15, Anlage discloses the invention set forth above, Anlage does not disclose the wave emitted from the wave source is λ , the length of the waveguide resonator changes by $\lambda/4$ increments. It is design choice to change the length by $\lambda/4$. it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to change the length of the waveguide by $\lambda/4$ increment to efficiently find the desired length which would result in best signal strength.
- 8. Claims 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anlage in view of Anlage (U.S. Patent 5,900,618), here in after, Anlage '618.
- 9. Regarding claim 13, Anlage discloses the invention set forth above. Anlage does not disclose a lock-in amplifier, which minimizes noise by improving a signal-to-noise ratio between the wave source and the waveguide resonator. Anlage 618' discloses in a lock-in amplifier (603) which minimizes noise by improving a signal to noise ratio

Art Unit: 2878

between the wave source and the waveguide resonator. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to place a lock-in amplifier, which minimizes noise by improving a signal-to-noise ratio between the wave source and the waveguide resonator to improve signal quality.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 3/20/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that prior art does not disclose "...wherein an impedance, a resonance frequency mode and a structure of the probe can be controlled in the waveguide resonator." However, it is understood that the waveguide resonator disclosed by Anlage <u>can</u> control an impedance, resonance frequency mode and structure.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Ko whose telephone number is 571-272-1926.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2878

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the .

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TKO

THANH X. LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER